

Contents

v	Acronyms and Abbreviations
vii	Acknowledgments
ix	Executive Summary
xv	Summary of Management Response to IEG Recommendations
xix	Chairman's Summary: Committee on Development Effectiveness (CODE)
3	1 Introduction
	3 Using M&E Information for Results
	4 Overview of the 2006 AROE
7	2 Monitoring and Evaluating Results in Bank Operations
	7 Recent Developments in Monitoring
	8 How a Results Chain Works
	9 Possible Uses of Results Frameworks
	10 Current Status of Monitoring Results
	14 Challenges to Developing Results-Based Monitoring
	17 Self-Evaluation and the Bank's Results Agenda
	19 Implications for Future Work
25	3 Improving IEG's Effectiveness
	25 IEG's Results Framework
	26 IEG's Outputs: Increasing the Focus on Results and Impact in Independent Evaluation
	27 Strengthening the Focus on M&E in IEG Evaluations
	29 IEG Initiatives for Applied Learning and Real-Time Use of Evaluation
	29 Deepening Strategic Partnerships
	30 Strengthening IEG's Results Framework
	31 Quality and Relevance of IEG Outputs
	32 Communicating Knowledge from IEG Evaluations
	33 IEG Intermediate Outcomes: Evaluation Recommendations Incorporated into Bank Operations and Policy
	34 IEG Intermediate Outcomes: Use of Evaluation Findings by Bank Staff at the Operational Level

4	37 Conclusions and Recommendations	
		39 Recommendations for Management
		39 Recommendations for IEG-WB
41	Appendixes	
		43 A: Overview of Monitoring and Evaluation in the World Bank
		51 B: Implications of the World Bank's Results Agenda for Monitoring and Evaluation
		59 C: Review of CAS Results Frameworks
		69 D: Focus Group and Individual Interviews with CODE Members, Country Directors, Sector Managers, and Task Team Leaders
		79 E: Client Survey Results
		93 F: Overview: Changes in IEG's Dissemination Methods
		97 G: Accelerating the Results Agenda
		101 H: World Bank Management Action Record
		105 I: Management Response
109	Endnotes	
113	Bibliography	
	Boxes	
		12 2.1 What Constitutes a Strong Results Framework?
		15 2.2 What Are We Hearing from Managers and Staff?
		18 2.3 GTZ's e-VAL System: Using Computer-Supported Evaluation Tools for Rapid Progress Reviews
		20 2.4 Integration of Objectives in Results Frameworks
		21 2.5 Reducing the Gap Between Country- and Project-Level Outcomes
		29 3.1 Real-Time Use of IEG Knowledge—Accountability through Education Indicators in Peru
	Figures	
		8 2.1 Sample Results Chain for Agricultural Development
		13 2.2 Results Chains Better Developed in IDA Countries than in IBRD or Blend Borrowers
		13 2.3 Country Assistance Strategies Tend to Lack Adequate Baselines and Targets
		13 2.4 Precision of Milestones Could Be Improved
		13 2.5 Larger Programs Tend to Have More Indicators, Outliers May Need Review
		26 3.1 IEG Results Chain
		27 3.2 Uses of Evaluation Knowledge
		32 3.3 Bank Staff, Executive Directors, and Advisors Generally Satisfied with Quality of IEG Evaluations
		34 3.4 Level of Adoption of IEG Recommendations Similar to That in Previous Years
	Table	
		16 2.1 Regional Client Services